

Palliative Care: A focus on identification – Workshop 3 FAST & PPP

This session provided delegates with the opportunity to learn and share thinking about tools that will support identification of those that would benefit from a palliative approach to their care. Learn why, when and how to use different tools to support identification.

Welcome!

Palliative Care: A focus on identification Workshop

Prospective Prognostic Planning Tool (PPP)

Functional Assessment Staging of
Alzheimer's Disease Tool (FAST)

To view the presentation delivered please click on the image:

For further information on any of the tools discussed within this presentation please contact:

- Julie Kinley - J.Kinley@stchristophers.org.uk
- Jacqueline Thompson - jacquelinethompson2@nhs.net
- Andy Shewan - andyshewan@nhs.net
- Hilary Provan - hprovan@nhs.net
- Lynn Flannigan - Lynn.Flannigan@nhs.net

After the presentation delegates were asked to form into groups and work together to answer the following questions:

If these tools worked brilliantly – who benefits and why?

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Residents, people • Families • Staff – any care providers • Service – planning, prioritising resources eg. team / GP visits <p style="text-align: center;">Why?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Provides a platform • Visual and allows staff and families to identify • Would be good for ACP conversations • Would be useful if different individuals are providing care for an individual • To retrospectively review cases • Why individuals are going into hospital – provides a visual of a person’s history 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ‘Better’ palliative care • ‘Better’ / earlier identification – capture more people • Having future plans – ACP early in diagnosis and dementia diagnosis • ‘What matters to you?’ • When to go on e-registers in primary care • Family • Person – only if you use the information to do something! • Staff – we get a better understanding of trajectories • Useful as a communication/conversation tool 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Everyone - patient, carer, GP, health care professional, family • Facilitates early intervention and conversation • Very subjective • A common tool across care homes would be helpful • PPP tool may work in the community • Tool cannot stand alone • Family needs to buy into it • Professional staff – care staff, easy to use, improve communication, avoid duplication of information gathering • Families – understanding of disease progression, not isolated, involved, ‘choices’, avoidance of a crisis, quality time with the person • Patient / person – planning better care, appropriate level of treatment, ‘what matters to you?’
---	--	--

Experience of using tools? How/would you apply them?

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • To provide a degree of focus for discussions • To support families to be aware of the decline • Would work alongside SPAR •so what? • PPP – 0/8 have experience, FAST – 1/8 • No preference to either tool, both we feel will need underpinning education to use • Like the visual graph for the PPP to share with ‘loved ones’ during ACP discussions • FAST tool more challenging perhaps for unregistered staff – as muddied with other causes of functional decline • Almost ignore the number, it is about the conversation 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Acute setting – may not give an accurate pick • Challenge – really want a common language, ie. Everyone using the same tools – “common language” • Useful as a conversation tool • The right times and types of care and support • Help us talk about the fact the end is coming – we sometimes avoid the subject • No experience of either on this table • FAST – easy to use, needs guidelines • FAST - Doesn’t focus on discussion? influence on care, if get to 6/7 on scale may provide a chance to have a conversation with family • PPP – gives someone who doesn’t know the patient an understanding of that persons needs 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • PPP – 0/4 have experience, FAST – 0/4 • No clear preference – perhaps a hybrid? • Like the visual picture of the PPP tool and the tracking of PPP with changing staff • PPP – subjective (“higher” / “lower”) • Could we identify using FAST and then plot like the PPP tool?
---	---	---

What one thing can you take away from learning for use within your area?

<p>Care home settings:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ?local champion to support implementation in care homes • Care home liaison nurses • Narrative to go along with it very important • Part of pilot project, will also introduce into other areas. Will use both and see what works better • PPP – recommend adopting it within Scottish care homes and care at home (NES) • Confusion in a crowded market and previous strategic ‘push’ for SPICT • Considering FAST also in acute care homes – but needs further discussion and underpinning education Being open to using an ‘a la carte’ menu and person specific rather than rigid 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • If found to be useful implementation could be supported by CI • The reason why we want a tool • A consistent way of communicating particularly in the care setting • PPP – immediate story, general population • FAST – will use in a care home • Plan to use – indicator of relative need – use in reablement • Concern – will we have too many tools? 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Dundee care home pilot site hybrid of FAST / PPP • Crowded market, overwhelming amount of assessment tools • Which one? – Educational underpinning. Like choice but not duplication • Strategic drivers often make the choice for us
--	---	---

For more information on identification tools please follow the link to view the Identification Tools comparator:

